Consolidated Water Use Efficiency 2002 PSP Proposal Part One: A. Project Information Form

1. Applying for (select one):									
	☐ (b) Prop 13 Agricultural Water Conservation Capital Outlay Feasibility Study Grant								
	☐ (c) DWR Wate	(c) DWR Water Use Efficiency Project							
Principal applicant (Organization or affiliation):	City of San Joaqu	uin							
3. Project Title:	2002 Water Syste	em Maintenance Project							
Person authorized to sign and submit	Name, title	Shahid Hami, City Manager							
proposal:	Mailing address	P. O. Box 758							
	Telephone	San Joaquin, CA 93660 (559) 693-4311 (559) 693-2193							
	Fax.								
	E-mail								
5. Contact person (if different):	Name, title.)							
	Mailing address.								
	Telephone	Fresno, CA 93710 (559) 244-3123							
	Fax.	(559) 244-3120							
	E-mail	ghorn@yandhengr.com							
6. Funds requested (dollar amount):	\$270,000.00								
7. Applicant funds pledged (dollar amour	None								
8. Total project costs (dollar amount):	\$270,000								
Estimated total quantifiable project be amount):	\$284,000								
Percentage of benefit to be accrued b	100%								
Percentage of benefit to be accrued b others:	y CALFED or	0%							

Consolidated Water Use Efficiency 2002 PSP Proposal Part One:

A. Project Information Form (continued)

10.	Estimated annual amount of water to be	1.4	
	Estimated total amount of water to be sa	aved (acre-feet):	70
	Over years	50	
	Estimated benefits to be realized in term instream flow, other:	ns of water quality,	None
11.	Duration of project (month/year to month	/year):	10/02 to 8/03
12.	State Assembly District where the project	ct is to be conducted:	[30]
13.	State Senate District where the project is	s to be conducted:	16
14.	Congressional district(s) where the proje	(20)	
15.	County where the project is to be conduc	cted:	Fresno
16.	Date most recent Urban Water Managen to the Department of Water Resources:	nent Plan submitted	
17.	Type of applicant (select one): Prop 13 Urban Grants and Prop 13 Agricultural Feasibility Study Grants:	` '	ubdivision of the State,
		including public wa (f) incorporated mu	ter district tual water company
	DWR WUE Projects: the above entities (a) through (f) or:	☐ (g) investor-owned ☐ (h) non-profit organ☐ (i) tribe☐ (j) university☐ (k) state agency☐ (l) federal agency	

18. Project focus:	☐ (a) agricultural ☐ (b) urban
Proposal	se Efficiency 2002 PSP Part One: on Form (continued)
19. Project type (select one): Prop 13 Urban Grant or Prop 13	
Agricultural Feasibility Study Grant capital outlay project related to:	☐ (b) implementation of Agricultural Efficient Water Management Practices
	☐ (c) implementation of Quantifiable Objectives (include QO number(s)
	☐ (d) other (specify)
DWR WUE Project related to:	 ☐ (e) implementation of Urban Best Management Practices ☐ (f) implementation of Agricultural Efficient Water Management Practices ☐ (g) implementation of Quantifiable Objectives (include QO number(s)) ☐ (h) innovative projects (initial investigation of new technologies, methodologies, approaches, or institutional frameworks) ☐ (i) research or pilot projects ☐ (j) education or public information programs ☐ (k) other (specify)
20. Do the actions in this proposal involve physical changes in land use, or potential future changes in land use?	 ☐ (a) yes ☒ (b) no If yes, the applicant must complete the CALFED PSP Land Use Checklist found at http://calfed.water.ca.gov/environmental_docs.ht

ml and submit it with the proposal.

PROPOSITION 13 URBAN WATER CONSERVATION GRANT

CITY OF SAN JOAQUIN 2002 WATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROJECT

PROPOSAL PART TWO

Project Summary

This project consists of replacement of existing leaking water mains, installation of new water valves and replacement of a leaking fire hydrant for the water system of the City of San Joaquin. The project will enable the City to conserve water by eliminating existing leaking facilities and allow a more water-efficient operation of the municipal water system. The proposed project will also eliminate costly maintenance by City public work personnel. The cost of the project is \$270,000 and the anticipated savings are \$284,000 over the life of the project. The estimated amount of water to be saved annually is 1.4 acre-feet.

- 1. Scope of Work: Relevance and Importance
 - 1. Nature, scope and objectives of the project.
 - 1. Replacement of 1,800 feet of existing 4" steel water main with a new 6" PVC water main in the Idaho/Oregon alley from Manning Avenue to Pine Street. This steel main has deteriorated and City crews have made numerous repairs to the line over the last five years. This main serves fifty-two homes, and new services will be installed from the main to the property line. No work will be done on private property.
 - 2. Replacement of 2,700 feet of existing 2" steel water main with 1,300 feet of 6" and 1,400 feet of 12" PVC water mains in Railroad Avenue from Ninth Street to 1,400 feet North of Pine Street. This existing main serves 4 business and 1 residence. New services will be installed for each customer. The existing main is on private property and access to make repairs is limited and difficult. The steel line has deteriorated and City crews have made numerous repairs. The new

- main will be placed in public street rights of way.
- Seven new shut-off valves will be installed at various locations on existing water mains in the City. These valves will allow water mains to be isolated so that the amount of water lost during repairs will decrease.
- 4. An existing leaking fire hydrant will be replaced with a new hydrant.
- 2. Scope of Work: Technical/Scientific Merit, Feasibility, Monitoring and Assessment
 - 1. Methods, procedures and facilities. The project will replace leaking facilities with new materials that will resist corrosion and require less maintenance.
 - 2. Task List and Schedule. See Table A, attached
 - Monitoring and Assessment. Repair records for the City Public Works
 Department for a period of ten years will keep the proposed facilities. These records will document repairs made to the installed facilities, if any.
 - Preliminary Plans and Specifications and Certification Statements. See attached.
- 3. Qualifications of the Applicants and Cooperators.
 - Gary D. Horn, San Joaquin City Engineer, will be the project manager. His
 resume and a brief company profile for Yamabe & Horn Engineering, Inc. are
 attached.
 - The City of San Joaquin operates the municipal water system and no external cooperators will be involved. Licensed pipeline contractors will perform the construction.
- 4. Benefits and Costs.
 - Budget. A detailed engineer's estimate is attached. A 10% contingency has been added to the project cost to cover unanticipated construction related costs due to unknown field conditions.
 - 2. Cost-Sharing. The City of San Joaquin does not propose any cost sharing.
 - 3. Benefit Summary and Breakdown.

- 1. Project outcomes and benefits. The outcome of the project will be to reduce the amount of water lost and reduce the maintenance cost to the City of San Joaquin for caused by the existing water mains. The City averages five breaks per year on the two lines being replaced. Each repair requires that the lines be flushed prior to being placed back in service. The repairs also require 24 man-hours to repair, along with equipment and material costs. The City of San Joaquin will realize the benefit.
- Non-quantifiable benefits. Each break in the water main requires that
 the line be taken out of service. There will be non-quantified benefit to
 the residents served by these mains in the increased level of service
 provided by the City water system.
- Assessment of Costs and Benefits.
 - Assumptions. The project will eliminate costs currently being incurred by the City.
 - 1. Each break in the water main requires 24 man-hours to repair at a cost of \$50 per hour, a backhoe at \$800 and service truck at \$400. Material costs are \$900.
 - 2. Flushing the water main after repair for 60 minutes at 1,500 g.p.m. requires 90,000 gallons of water.
 - 3. Inadequate shut-off valves require 4 man-hours of additional staff time for water main isolation at \$50 per hour.

Cost Benefit.

- 1. Each break costs \$3,300 to repair and the City averages 5 breaks per year at a total cost of \$16,500.
- Water production costs for the City are \$1.12 per thousand gallons of water, for a cost of \$100 per break or a total of \$500 per year.

- 3. Inadequate shut-off valves cost \$200 per incident and the City averages 5 incidents per year for a total of \$1,000 per year.
- 4. The total cost savings is estimated at \$18,000 per year.
- 3. Present Value. The present value of the benefit over the 50-year life of the project at a 6% discount rate is \$284,000.
- 4. The present cost of the project is \$270,000. The Present Value of the savings is \$284,000. The City of San Joaquin will realize all benefits of the project.
- 5. Benefit/Cost Ratio. The B/C Ratio is 1.05.
- Outreach, Community Involvement and Acceptance. The residents and business owners affected by the project will be notified by a bilingual letter outlining the project and inviting them to a town-hall meeting. Approximately 150 residents and 3 businesses will benefit from the project. The major benefit will be a continuous supply of water since fewer repairs to the old water mains will be required, and fewer instances of the water being turned off.

Preliminary Engineer's Estimate 2002 WATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROJECT City of San Joaquin

February 25, 2002

Description	Estimated Quantity		Uni <u>Price</u>	Extension
CONSTRUCTION				
12" PVC Water Main 6" PVC Water Main 12" Valve, New Construction Install 8" Valve in Existing Main 6" Valve, New Construction Install 6" Valve in Existing Main Install 4" Valve in Existing Main 2" Water Service 1" Water Service Fire Hydrants Trench Resurfacing Mobilization Traffic & Dust Control	3 e 6 e 4 e 1 e 4 e 52 e	f ea ea ea ea ea ea	\$ 30.00 20.00 850.00 1,000.00 500.00 800.00 1,000.00 850.00 2,500.00 6.00 Lump Sum Lump Sum	\$ 42,000 62,000 1,700 3,000 3,000 3,200 600 4,000 44,200 7,500 37,200 5,000 9,600
	Total Co	onst	ruction	\$ 223,000
MISCELLANEOUS				
Design Engineering Construction Staking Inspection & Testing Contract Administration Contingency	Total Mis	scell	aneous	\$ 13,000 4,400 4,400 3,200 22,000 47,000
99-150\02 water est	Total P	rojed	ct Cost	\$ 270,000

TABLE A

CITY OF SAN JOAQUIN

2002 WATER SYSTEM MAINENANCE PROJECT

TASK LIST AND SCHEDULE

					QUARTERLY EXPENDITURE							
NO.	TASK	START	END	COST	4	QTR 02	1	QTR 03	2	QTR 03	3 QTI	R 03
1	DESIGN ENGINEERING PLANS & SPECS	10/1/2002	2/1/2003	\$ 13,000	\$	13,000	\$	26,000				
2	CONSTRUCTION	4/1/2003	7/1/2003	\$ 245,000			\$	245,000	\$	245,000		
3	STAKING, INSPECTION TESTING & CONTRACT ADMIN	4/1/2003	8/1/2003	\$ 12,000			\$	12,000	\$	10,000	\$	2,000
L	1	ı.	TOTAL	\$ 270.000	\$	13.000	\$	283.000	\$	255.000	\$	2.000

99-150\RTASK 2/25/2002